UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,420	08/23/2006	Shoichi Kishimoto	14434.107USWO	7030	
52835 7590 09/10/2008 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER		
			HOBAN, MATTHEW E		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/590,420	KISHIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Mattnew E. Hoban	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finding reje	otod oldiirio.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	J			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,11,12 and 16-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-10 and 13-15</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and						
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over the entered because the affidavit or other evidence.						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
/Jerry A Lorengo/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793						

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that one would not be motivated to add MgO as in Hiromi to the composition of Kakui based on the fact that Kakui and Hiromi are of different composition. This argument, however, is unconvincing based on the fact that one of ordinary skill would still expect that the addition of MgO would have a positive effect on the processing characteristics of the glass, Furthermore one of ordinary skill would determine that such compositional differences in no way impact the beneficial effects of MgO on devitrification. The arguments submitted on a whole are mainly directed towards the bismuth contents in these glasses, but this argument as a whole is unconvincing based on the above reasoning. The new claim 19 is not being considered at this time since the claim raises new issues that would require further search and consideration.